

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Per Ogren
Serial No.: 10/519,130
Filed: December 22, 2004
For: GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE FOR EXPANDABLE MENUS

Confirmation No.: 2409
Group Art Unit: Not yet assigned
Examiner: Not yet assigned

January 15, 2008

Mail Stop PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181
TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT**

Sir:

This Renewed Petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 is in response to the Decision on Petition dated November 16, 2007 (the Decision on Petition). The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). According to the Notice of Abandonment mailed August 20, 2007, a Notification of Missing Requirements was mailed September 18, 2006 by the USPTO and set a two month extendable time period for response (the Non-Received Office Action). The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Notification of Missing Requirements mailed September 18, 2006.

Remarks

Applicant appreciates the discussion in the Decision on Petition regarding the requirements in the O.G. Notice entitled *Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received*, 1156 O.G. 53 (Nov. 16, 1993) (the O.G. Notice). Applicant further appreciates the indication in the Decision on Petition that Applicant has satisfied the requirements in the O.G. Notice to provide (1) a statement by the practitioner that the Office Action was not received by the practitioner, and (2) a statement in testing that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office Action was not received.

The Decision on Petition indicates that Applicant must also submit (3) a copy of the

In re: Per Ogren
Serial No.: 10/519,130
Filed: December 22, 2004
Page 2


docket record where the non-received Office Action would have been entered had it been received. The Non-Received Office Action was mailed September 18, 2006 and set a two-month period for reply. Accordingly, a copy of the docket report showing all replies docketed for a date two months from the date of the Non-Received Office Action (*i.e.*, November 18, 2006) is enclosed (*see* Tab A, Declaration of Ms. Phyllis Hines submitting docket report for November 18, 2006).

The Decision on Petition further indicates that a new Declaration is required because the declaration supplied during the international phase contained non-initialed, non-dated alterations. Applicant appreciates the clarification provided in the Decision on Petition, and a Response to Notice to File Missing Parts submitting a new Declaration is being filed herewith (*see* Tab B).

Applicant submits that the outstanding requirements noted in the Petition on Decision and in the O.G. Notice have been satisfied and respectfully requests that the Petition to Withdraw a Holding of Abandonment be granted.

If any extension of time for the accompanying response or submission is required, Applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee, which may be required, or credit any refund, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0220.

Respectfully submitted,



Laura M. Kelley
Registration No. 48,441

USPTO Customer No. 20792
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec
Post Office Box 37428
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627
Telephone: 919/854-1400
Facsimile: 919/854-1401

In re: Per Ogren
Serial No.: 10/519,130
Filed: December 22, 2004
Page 2

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4) to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on January 15, 2008.

Laneisha C. Hayes

Laneisha C. Hayes

Date of Signature: January 15, 2008